THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

–

WRIT PETITION Nos.10224 to 10231 of 2006

–

DATED: 25-05-2006

–

WP.No.10224 of 2006

Between:

L.Potharaju . . .Petitioner

And

MRO and others . . .Respondents

WP.No.10225 of 2006

Between:

P.Subba Rao . . .Petitioner

And

MRO and others . . .Respondents

WP.No.10226 of 2006

Between:

P.Venkatalaxmi . . .Petitioner

And

MRO and others . . .Respondents

WP.No.10227 of 2006

Between:

Smt. P.Ramadevi . . .Petitioner

And

MRO and others . . .Respondents

WP.No.10228 of 2006

Between:

L.Annavaram . . .Petitioner

And

MRO and others . . .Respondents

WP.No.10229 of 2006

Between:

L.Padmavathi . . .Petitioner

And

MRO and others . . .Respondents

WP.No.10230 of 2006

Between:

P.Bhaskara Ramamani . . .Petitioner

And

MRO and others . . .Respondents

WP.No.10231 of 2006

Between:

P.Ananda Gajapathi Raju and others . . .Petitioners

And

MRO and others . . .Respondents

–

–

–

–

–

–

–

–

–

–

–

–

–

–

–

–

–

–

–

–

–

–

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

–

WRIT PETITION No.10224 to 10231 of 2006

–

COMMON ORDER:

In this batch of writ petitions, the petitioners feel aggrieved by the inaction of the appellate authority under the Andhra Pradesh Scheduled Areas Land Transfer Regulation 1 of 1970 in passing interim orders.

The Special Deputy Collector, Tribal Welfare, Palvoncha, passed separate orders against the petitioners herein directing their eviction. Aggrieved thereby, the petitioners filed several writ petitions before this Court. The writ petitions were disposed of leaving it open to the petitioners to avail the remedy of appeal before the Agent to Government, the second respondent herein. Status quo was directed to be maintained for a period of four (4) weeks. The petitioners have filed appeals together with applications for stay. The second respondent has not taken up the applications for stay so far, and the status quo granted by this Court had expired.

With the fond hope that the appellate authority would deal with the appeals in accordance with law, this Court directed the petitioners to avail the said remedy. Further, the matter of granting interim orders was also left to the discretion of the appellate authority, duly protecting the interests of the petitioners in the *interregnum*. However, the appellate authority did not choose to consider the applications for stay. In view of the fact that the direction for maintenance of status quo granted for the limited period had expired, the petitioners are exposed to threat of dispossession.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the writ petitions are disposed of directing that the orders of eviction passed against the petitioners by the Special Deputy Collector shall remain suspended till the disposal of the appeals preferred by them. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

(L.NARASIMHA REDDY, J)

25th MAY, 2006.

Note: furnish c.c. tomorrow.

B/o

kvni/*kdl*